

Sub-Internship Description

Campus: Fargo (Southeast)

Sub-Internship Title: Hospital Medicine

Location of Sub-Internship: Fargo VA - Fargo, ND

Department: Internal Medicine

Course Number: IMED 9291-04

Preceptor(s): Hospitalist Department

Course Prerequisites: IMED Clerkship

Phase Available: ONLY Phase 3

Period(s) offered: All Year

Number of students per period: 1

Purpose: The purpose of the Sub-Internship in Hospital medicine is to 1. Provide a structured clinical experience in internal medicine and sub-specialty areas necessary for the care of the hospitalized patient and 2. Prepare for the patient management responsibilities of internship.

Objectives: After completing the sub-internship, the student will be able to

1. Obtain a history and perform a physical exam.
EPA #1; Competency 3.1
2. Present the history and physical in a concise, well-organized format.
EPA #6; Competency 3.7
3. Form and prioritize a differential diagnosis. Select a working diagnosis.
EPA #2; Competency 3.3
4. Discuss orders and prescriptions and construct evidence-based management plans.
EPA #4; Competency 3.4, 3.8
5. Select screening and diagnostic studies and labs and interpret the results of these tests.
EPA #3; Competency 3.2, 3.3
6. Recognize patients who are critically ill or require emergent care and initiate the appropriate initial steps in that care. Reassess patients on an ongoing basis and adjust plan of care as appropriate.
EPA #10; Competency 3.5
7. Document the clinical encounter in a timely fashion.
EPA #5; Competency 3.7, 5.7
8. Communicate effectively with patients and their families regarding diagnoses and plans of care with respect for cultural and socioeconomic backgrounds.
Competency 3.9, 4.1
9. Work effectively as a member of the interprofessional healthcare team including giving and accepting patient handoffs at transitions of care.
EPA #8, #9; Competency 3.5, 7.5
10. Research a clinical question relating to patient care with appropriate evaluation of resources and use of evidence-based information.
EPA #7; Competency 1.6, 1.10, 2.7
11. Formulate or update an accurate problem list for patients under his or her care.
EPA # 5; Competency 3.3, 3.7

Specialty Specific Objectives: (These should be linked to EPAs and Year 4 Competencies which can be found at <https://med.und.edu/education-resources/phase3.html#Yr4O> under “Overview & Objectives”)

Please include any procedures the student will be expected to perform:

1. Obtain informed consent for tests and procedures.
EPA #11 Year 4 LO 12
2. Perform the following procedures under the supervision of an attending physician or resident if encountered during the rotation. (Arthrocentesis, Paracentesis, Bedside Ultrasound, Punch Skin Biopsy)
EPA #11, EPA #12 Year 4 LO 12
3. Effectively call specialty providers for consults.
EPA #9 Year 4 LO 7

Instructional Activities: During this elective, the student will be involved in/experience:

1. The student will assume primary responsibility of his or her assigned patients under the supervision of an upper level resident or attending.
2. Admit patients to the hospitalist service including performing the admission history and physical exam, developing an assessment and plan, presenting and documenting HPI, placing admit orders under the supervision of a Hospitalist (1-2 per day)
3. Daily care of 4-8 inpatients including rounds, presentation of the daily update, documentation and coordination of care. Coordination of care will include working in an interdisciplinary team with OT, PT, Speech therapy, Case management, nursing and RT.
4. Work with one hospitalist for a week with 1 day off in each week without exceeding duty hours listed in medical school duty hours policy.
5. Placing consults to specialty providers.
6. Responds to questions, emergencies (rapid responses and code blues) on the patients primarily assigned to the student under the supervision of attending physician.
7. Perform discharge rounds and coordinate care with primary care physician for safe hand off.
8. Perform above mentioned procedures under supervision of hospitalist.
9. Attend noon conferences held at the respective Medical Center.
10. Participate in teaching 3rd year medical students.

Evaluation Methods: The preceptor will:

1. By direct observation evaluate the student's ability to perform a complete history and physical pertinent to the Sub-I specialty and present his or her findings. (Objective #1,2)
2. By direct observation or review of written work evaluate the student's ability to form a complete differential diagnosis and select a working diagnosis. (Objective #3)
3. By direct observation or verbal discussion evaluate the student's formulation of patient management plans including those for patients requiring emergent management. (Objective #4, 6)
4. By direct observation, verbal discussion or review of written work, evaluate the student's selection and interpretation of screening and diagnostic laboratory tests. (Objective #5)
5. By direct observation evaluate the student's documentation of clinical encounters. (Objective #7, 11)
6. By direct observation and via feedback from the healthcare team, patients, and families, evaluate the student's communication skills including patient handoffs and consultations. (Objective #8, 9)
7. By review of written or verbal presentation made by the student evaluate the student's use of evidence-based information to research a patient care question. (Objective #10)
8. By direct observation assess the student's ability to perform procedures including obtaining Informed Consent. (Objective #11, 12)

Assessment:

Evaluation methods #1-8 will be assessed using the Entrustability scale.

Level	Descriptor	Example
1	"I had to do"	Requires complete hands on guidance, did not do, or was not given the opportunity to do
2	"I had to talk them through"	Able to perform tasks but requires constant direction
3	"I had to prompt them from time to time"	Demonstrates some independence, but requires intermittent direction
4	"I need to be there in the room just in case"	Independence but unaware of risks and still requires supervision for safe practice
5	"I would not have needed to be there other than to fulfill regulatory requirements"	Complete independence, understand risks and performs safely, practice ready

*This scale was adapted from the Ottawa surgical competency operating room evaluation (O-SCORE): A tool to assess surgical competence. Acad Med. 2012; 87:1401-407.

Please indicate who will be completing the assessment. If more than one preceptor, how will scores be compiled?

The student is evaluated by the attending physician each week. (Maximum of 4 attending physicians and minimum of 2 evaluations needed for grading)

Grading is done using the following formula: 10 question evaluation (see Sub-I evaluation form attached) with maximum possible points of 50. Required minimum for pass is 25. Average of at least 2 evaluations required.

Required clinical encounters check list (see attached list) - participation in 15 out of 20 encounters required to pass. Each encounter is awarded 1 point.

Grading Criteria:

To receive honors, the student must: Score **55 points** aggregate between preceptor evaluations and required clinical encounters and receive no scores less than 3 for any specific item

To pass the Sub-I, the student must: **Score 25 points in preceptor evaluations and 15 points in required encounters** for aggregate minimum of 40 points and receive no score less than 2 for any specific item

If a student fails an Sub-I, the Sub-I director and campus dean will work with the student to form a written remediation plan (signed by all 3) that specifically addresses the competencies that the student did not meet during the rotation. A copy of this plan will be sent to the Office of Student Affairs. The minimum remediation for this Sub-I will be repeating the Sub-I with one preceptor for one week to reevaluate and/or arrangements with the course director to experience the missed required clinical encounters. In order to pass the Sub-I, the student will be required to meet the original passing requirements. A student may not receive honors on an Sub-I that was initially failed.

Required clinical encounters list- Hospital medicine Sub-I

- Abdominal pain

- Acute GI bleed
- Acute CHF
- Acute renal failure
- Altered mental status
- Tachyarrhythmia
- Bradyarrhythmias
- Chest pain
- Drug/Alcohol overdose/Withdrawal
- Acute COPD/Asthma exacerbation
- Electrolyte disorders
- Fever
- Glycemic control
- Hypertensive urgency/emergency
- Nausea/vomiting
- Pain management
- Acute respiratory distress
- Hypotension/shock
- Stroke
- Seizures

Subject:

Evaluator:

Site:

Period:

Dates of Rotation:

Rotation: IMED/Hospital Medicine /Sub-I

Form: Assessment of Student Hospital Medicine Sub-Internship
Performance

By completing this document I agree that I have read and complied with the following UNDSMHS policies: *(Question 1 of 6 - Mandatory)*

- Conflict of Interest in Assessment
 - URL: http://med.und.edu/policies/_files/docs/2.3-3.2-conflict-of-interest-assessment.pdf
- Learner Mistreatment – Formerly known as the Teacher-Learner Agreement
 - URL: http://med.und.edu/policies/_files/docs/2.5-3.12-learner-mistreatment.pdf

Yes

No

2

1

The contents of this form are a vital part of the student's permanent record and will be used to provide feedback to the student as well as information for residency applications.

Please evaluate the AI student's ability to perform the following items using the Ottawa Entrustability Scale as a guide.

Level Descriptor

1 "I had to do" (i.e., requires complete hands on guidance, did not do, or was not given the opportunity to do)

.....

2 "I had to talk them through" (i.e., able to perform tasks but requires constant direction)

.....

3 "I had to prompt them from time to time" (i.e., demonstrates some independence, but requires intermittent direction)

.....

4 "I needed to be there in the room just in case" (i.e., independence but unaware of risks and still requires supervision for safe practice)

5 "I did not need to be there" (i.e., complete independence, understands risks and performs safely, practice ready)

*The authors adapted the scale from Gofton W. Dudek N. Wood T. Balaa F. Hamstra S. The Ottawa surgical competency operating room evaluation (O-SCORE): A tool to assess surgical competence. Acad Med. 2012;87:1401-407

(Question 2 of 6 - Mandatory)

	Requires Complete Hands-on guidance	Requires Constant Direction	Requires Intermittent Direction	Independent, but Requires Supervision	Completely Independent	Not Observed or Applicable
	1	2	3	4	5	0
Performs and presents a complete history and physical exam.	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	0
Forms a complete differential diagnosis and selects a working diagnosis.	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	0
Formulates patient management plans including emergent management.	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	0
Selects and interprets screening	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	0

and diagnostic laboratory tests.						
Documents clinical encounters.	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	0
Communicates with healthcare teams, patients and families including patient handoffs.	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	0
Uses evidence-based information to research patient care questions.	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	0
Coordinates patient care at discharge.	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	0

(Question 3 of 6 - Mandatory)

	1 UNACCEPTABLE	2 NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	3 SATISFACTORY	4 ABOVE AVERAGE	5 OUTSTANDING	Not Observed or Applicable
Prioritizes and organizes daily patient care management work effectively.	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	0
Articulates uncertainty in patient management and seeks	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0	0

help appropriately.						
------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

(Question 4 of 6 - Mandatory)

Please evaluate the AI student's completion of the Sub-I checklist	No	Yes
Form management plans for common and essential Hospital Medicine conditions, and interpret diagnostic and screening tests as listed on the Sub-I student checklist. Completed?		
Describe the common Hospital medicine/IM procedures as listed on the Sub-I student checklist. Completed?		

Formative Evaluation: (For student development only. Will not appear in Dean's letter.) (Question 5 of 6)

SUMMATIVE EVALUATION (Question 6 of 6 - Mandatory)

Narrative on student's strengths and weaknesses during period of contact.