

UNDSMHS Faculty Academic Council Meeting Minutes
Special Meeting – RE: Review of Budget Submissions
Thursday, March 23, 2017
SMHS Room E481

The attendance roster is attached. Others in attendance: Dr. A. Michael Booth, Dr. Julia de la Garza, Dr. Bryon Grove, Jan Orvik, Dr. Thad Rosenberger, Dr. Ken Ruit, Dr. John Shabb, Dr. Nancy Vogeltanz-Holm

The meeting was called to order at 3:00 p.m. by Dr. Dennis Lutz

Topic/ Presenter	Discussion	Action/Resolution
Call to order	Dr. Dennis Lutz, Chair of FAC, called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m.	
Review of Budget Submissions	<p>This meeting was called in response to faculty requests regarding the proposed 90% budget.</p> <p>Dr. Wynne gave an overview of where we are at in the budget process. The SMHS budget is submitted through UND to the North Dakota University System (NDUS) who submits it, on our behalf, to the Legislature. The Legislature then goes through their process. This year, the Higher Education budget started on the Senate side (SB2003). The Senate made some alterations in the entire bill. Engrossed SB2003 has been sent to the House. The Education and Environment Division of the House Appropriations Committee is now debating Engrossed SB2003.</p> <p>On March 6, 2017, Dave Molmen and Randy Eken testified, on behalf of the School, to the House Education and Environment Committee. Dr. Wynne reviewed what was presented at the hearing. The committee met yesterday (3/22/17) but their discussion has not been translated into legislation yet.</p> <p>In developing the 90% budget, each of the Assistant and Associate Deans asked the units that report to them to come up with their proposed budgets.</p> <p>The School will determine the budget options that could be invoked when the answers to the following are known:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is our actual appropriated funding for this biennium? 2. How many of the people who signed up for the voluntary separation are actually going to take it. 3. To what extent will tuition be used to close any budget gap that may exist? 	<p>Action: Feedback regarding the menu of options should be sent to Dr. Lutz</p> <p>Responsible person(s): Members of FAC and other interested individuals</p> <p>Action: Prepare narrative on some of the obvious questions people might ask relating to the 90% budget.</p> <p>Responsible person(s): Dr. Wynne, Terry Nelson, Judy Solberg</p> <p>Action: After the narrative is developed and distributed, Assistant and Associate Deans should coordinate with their respective unit on reviewing the budget summaries.</p> <p>Responsible person(s): Associate and Assistant Deans.</p>

	<p>After the answers to the above questions are known, the menu of budget items will be considered for execution.</p> <p>The 90% budget summary collates the budget recommendations provided by all of the departments and units in the SMHS. The summary was distributed prior to the meeting.</p> <p>Terry Nelson gave an overview of the process for developing the 90% budget options. This was done using a standardized format.</p> <p>Dr. Colin Combs reviewed the budget options for the Biomedical Sciences.</p> <p>Terry Nelson, on behalf of Dr. Marc Basson, reviewed the budget options for the clinical science departments.</p> <p>Dr. Joshua Wynne, on behalf of Dr. Gwen Halaas, reviewed the budget options for educational departments.</p> <p>Dr. Joshua Wynne reviewed the budget options for the dean's administration & other departments (other departments include: Student Affairs & Admissions, Center for Rural Health, Child Evaluation & Treatment Program, Alumni & Community Relations, INMED, and the Center for Health Promotion & Prevention Research).</p> <p>Dr. Tom Mohr reviewed the budget options for the health sciences departments.</p> <p>The Legislature is expected to be done in mid-April. FAC will be reconvened when more information is available.</p>	
Adjournment	The meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.	

Submitted by Lori Sannes