**Medical Curriculum Committee Meeting Minutes**
SMHS
**Wednesday, February 28, 2018 - 4:30 PM, Room E493, via telecomm, and video**

**In attendance:** Dinesh Bande, Marc Basson, Jim Beal, Pat Carr, Eric Christensen, Joy Dorscher, Jonathan Geiger, Dawn Hackman, Alissa Hancock, Mark Koponen, Rebecca Maher, Kay McCollough, Thad Rosenberger, Adrienne Salentiny, David Schmitz, John Shabb, Chernet Tessema, Steve Tinguely, Chris Tiongson, Rick Van Eck, Chen Xuesong.

**Minutes Submitted by:** Alissa Hancock  
**Minutes Reviewed by:** Rick Van Eck  
**Minutes Approved by:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA ITEM</th>
<th>SUMMARY</th>
<th>ACTION/FOLLOW-UP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Welcome/call to order</td>
<td>Dr. Van Eck called the meeting to order at 4:37 pm in room E493 on the Northeast Campus.</td>
<td>Informational</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2. Consent Agenda | a. Approval of Meeting Minutes 1/24/18, CSCS four AI reports, BSCS reports including block 8 & 6 reports, EASRS report.  
- Discussion: notified that all subcommittees will submit their minutes in lieu of a summary report. If there is action required by MCC on specific issues, they will make the MCC chair and support staff aware of those separately so they can be added to the agenda.  
- All consent items were approved. | MSC to approve all consent agenda items. Koponen/Rosenberger, all in favor; carried unanimously. |
| 3. New Business | a. Steve Tinguely Medical Curriculum Revision Report from 2.7.18  
- Discussion: Presentation is on blackboard and it is not necessary for him to report on it, so this item was tabled at Dr. Tinguely’s request. | |
| | b. Curriculum Review Report – Basson PowerPoint  
Dr. Basson presented on the work of a subgroup around curriculum renewal. Discussion of the strengths of our current curriculum and what we can improve as well as new directions for consideration by MCC.  
The adhoc group volunteered to continue exploring and make suggestions for the curriculum back to the MCC committee along with anyone who wants to join them. They proposed three smaller groups look into more focused areas and see what is realistic for us to implement now or in the future, if we need to make changes.  
The proposed groups will be: | Alissa will post Dr. Basson PPT on Blackboard. |
“Who we are lens”:
Leaders: Adrienne Salentiny and Rick Van Eck (Ken Ruit also)
Focus: Competencies/Alignment, Professionalism, Critical thinking/QI/Research, and Future (knowledge case &
nontechnical competencies)

“What you know lens”:
Leaders; Pat Carr and Susan Zelewski
Focus: USMLE Steps1, non-clinical electives, critical thinking/QI/research (also), and Preclinical/clinical
integration (also)

“What can you do lens”:
Leader: David Schmitz and Ken Ruit
Focus: Preclinical/clinical integration (also), elective exposure, “future” – (technical), and mission based care.

Proposal: Asking to have these three groups to continue to explore and invite committee members to join any
group they wish and/or give feedback. They will come back to MCC in a couple months with some proposals for
change.

Discussion: Expressed worries that things go to committees to die, that communication needs to improve and to
involve the faculty to get buy in if we are going to see some change happen more than just tweaking the
curriculum. More concerns about the strategy to get more faculty on-board besides volunteerism and to get the
faculty excited about the curriculum again. Overall support for the process but strong encouragement to make
this “stick.”

The committee is in an agreement that the work groups should keep exploring the options and set up a
faculty forum to hear feedback and ask for volunteers and one for student feedback. They will bring back to
MCC a series of suggestions of changes and timetables for review in two months. Then MCC can pick which
ones should be implemented and how. Ken Ruit is actively involved in the discussions and will ensure steps
are taken if needed with LCME. Committee determined that no action item or vote was required, as this is
exploratory at this time and those involved do not need permission to continue discussing ideas.

4. Standing Agenda Items
   a. Review of action item table (R. Van Eck)

   It was determined that the table is up-to-date.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>b. Big MCC Discussion Question (R. Van Eck) -- EASRS 8.3 Action Item Discussion (CEMS Proposal) (R Van Eck)</th>
<th>Postponed to next meeting.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Old Business</td>
<td>Policy 4.19 (Medical Student Scheduled Time) has been posted on the policy Website. This policy had been approved by MCC and sent on to FAC.</td>
<td>Informational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Other Business</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Next MCC Meeting</td>
<td>Next Clerkship Report Review meeting – March 14, 2018 – 4:30 PM, Room E493 &amp; Video Fargo Next Regular Meeting – March 28, 2018 – 4:30 PM, Room E493 &amp; Video Fargo</td>
<td>Informational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Adjournment</td>
<td>Meeting was adjourned at 6:55 pm</td>
<td>Informational</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>