

Education Accreditation Standards Review Subcommittee (EASRS) Meeting Minutes
SMHS
Wednesday, August 15, 2018 – 4:30 pm in room E421 and via phone/video

In attendance: Pat Carr, Bryon Grove, Mark Koponen, Leigh Moyar, Ken Ruit and Steve Tinguely.

Not in attendance: Dinesh Bande, Bryan Delage, Joy Dorscher, Erica Johnson, Rick Van Eck and Susan Zelewski.

Minutes Submitted by: Alissa Hancock

MSC = motion made, seconded, carried

Minutes Reviewed by: Steve Tinguely

Minutes Approved by: Bryan Grove / Pat Carr

AGENDA ITEM	SUMMARY	ACTION/FOLLOW-UP
1. Welcome/call to order (Tinguely)	Dr. Tinguely called the meeting to order at 4:59 pm via video conferencing in room E421.	Informational
2. Review and approve meeting minutes from June 20, 2018	<p>a. June meeting minutes</p> <p>b. Action Table</p> <p>Standard 7 and TACCT: Dr. Pat Carr reviewed the status of the TACCT spreadsheet and said if the cell is empty then we will need to address those areas and make sure we cover those within the curriculum. He recommends that this spreadsheet be forwarded to BSCS.</p> <p>The review of the bioinformatics is in progress in BSCS</p> <p>7.1 Dr. Pat Carr analyzed the number in 7.1-2 and did not see a significant difference between UND and the national average. When there was, we were slightly above the national average.</p> <p>Regarding the end of block questions for the student’s assessment, Dr. Pat Carr will verify with Dr. Clint Hosford that those changes have already been made for the end of block questionnaires.</p>	<p>MSC – approve June minutes. Bryon Grove / Ken Ruit // carried.</p> <p>Action Item: Dr. Steve Tinguely will forward the spreadsheet to BSCS and Dr. Mark Koponen will address any questions regarding the TACCT at BSCS.</p> <p>7.1 Action Item: Dr. Pat Carr will continue to collect data to eventually determine if a trend arises identified by GQ re student satisfaction with biomedical education and will report back once there is five years’ worth of data.</p>
3. Old Business	<p>a. 7.5 (Ruit)</p> <p>Dr. Ken Ruit has been working with Dr. Rick Van Eck to help identify and propose examples of the types of societal problems that are unique to North Dakota. Dr. Ruit emphasized that the decision of which “societal problems” are to be included in the medical program’s curriculum will need to be made by MCC.</p>	Action Item: Dr. Ruit will draft an outline of the process for identifying curricular content specific to societal problems that will best meet the needs

	<p>Dr. Ruit suggested a process that may be beneficial in selecting those societal problems that will be most valuable for our medical curriculum and plans to give in writing to this committee his recommendations.</p> <p>Dr. Steve Tinguely will then forward to BSCS. Dr. Ruit is willing to answer questions regarding this topic when taken up by BSCS.</p>	<p>of our students. EARS will then forward onto to send to MCC and BSCS. He will also complete the DCI questions pertaining to Element 7.5 for this committee's review.</p>
	<p>b. 7.7 (Koponen) It was noted that most of the breaches of ethics in 3rd and 4th year are not major and are addressed right away by the campus deans, and we are confident that everything is properly addressed.</p>	<p>The committee accepted that the current curriculum content meets the intent of this Element and that the expectation of ethical student behavior is appropriately addressed at the school.</p>
	<p>c. 7.8 (Johnson, Erika) The data in this report is from the 2017 GQ. It is concluded that our school is very close to the national average. The narrative lists the courses and objectives, which could actually be put into the 7.8-1 table. The narrative should explain how students' communications skills are assessed.</p>	<p>Action Item: need to work on this section to more clearly answer the DCI question in the narrative and to move the courses and objectives into the table.</p>
	<p>d. 7.9 (Zelewski) Table 7.9-1 looks to be complete. The 2nd table is missing how we assess students, which is something is currently, done by observation only. We will need to create a written form to be used.</p> <p>It was suggested, that we ask Dr. Eric Johnson to assist with improving the narrative and creating a rubric for assessing, since he is considered an expert in IPHC.</p>	<p>Action Item: an assessment rubric needs to be created for IPHC.</p>
<p>4. New Business</p>	<p>a. Chair Elect for 2018-19 Drs. Pat Carr and Ken Ruit nominated Dr. Steve Tinguely as chair. The vote was postponed until the next meeting, as Dr. Tinguely is verifying if he will also be chairing another committee whose work is very similar the EASRS.</p>	<p>Informational</p>

5. Other Business	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MCC accepted recently the Standard 8 report with modifications. The Standard 6 report will be reviewed at EARS September meeting prior to submission to MCC and the Dean. • October, we will review the Standard 7 report • Nov/Dec/Jan – we will start to review Standard 8 again. 	Informational
	<p><u>Future Meeting Assignments:</u></p> <p>September 2018 Standard 6 report</p> <p>October 2018 Standard 7 report</p> <p>November 2018 Start Reviewing Standard 8</p>	Informational
6. Adjournment/Next EASRS Meeting	Dr. Tinguely adjourned the meeting at 5:55 pm.	Next meeting is Wednesday, September 19, 2018 in Room E493.