

**Biomedical Sciences Curriculum Committee Meeting Minutes**

SMHS

**Tuesday, August 17, 2021 – 2pm via Zoom**

**In attendance:** Kurt Borg, Pat Carr, Xuesong Chen, Jane Dunlevy, Amanda Haage, Eric Johnson, Minnie Kalyanasundaram, Mark Koponen, Ken Ruit, Susan Zelewski.

**Members not in attendance:** Jon Allen, Marc Basson, Megan Denis Kara Eickman, Bibhuti Mishra, Dev Pant, Jim Porter, Tyler Safgren, Rick Van Eck.

**Minutes Submitted by:** Alissa Hancock

**Minutes Reviewed by:** Jane Dunlevy

MSC = motion made, seconded, carried

**Minutes Approved by:** Kurt Borg and Mark Koponen

AGENDA ITEM	SUMMARY	ACTION/FOLLOW-UP
<b>1. Welcome/call to order</b>	Dr. Dunlevy, chair called the meeting to order at 2:04 pm via Zoom.	Informational
<b>2. Approval of Minutes 7.20.21</b>		<b>MSC to approve the 7.20.21 minutes. Kurt Borg / Mark Koponen // carried.</b>
<b>3. Standing Items</b>		
<b>4. Old Business</b>	a. Y2Q	Tabled
<b>5. New Business</b>	a. Phase 1 elective Proposal – REMS 1 January 2-week	Tabled
	<p><b>b. Phase 1 Duty Hours Questions Suggestion</b></p> <p>Continuing discussion from the last meeting there were several suggestions for new wording for how to survey students on their duty (study) hours. We are looking for the number of high quality study hours that students do for the curriculum. The Likert scale will be helpful in gaging the student perception of their workload. Then if a student does report, they are dissatisfied; they will have the opportunity to explain why they feel that way with a text box. Then we can address it the reasoning more quickly than if we only ask 1-2x a year. This is similar to how the question is asked on the ISA also. We will also need to define what we mean by duty hours and what an example would be to help student respond accurately.</p>	<b>MSC to approve the use of the ISA questions for Duty Hours in Phase 1 with the prompt for text to gather additional data. Kurt Borg / Mark Koponen // carried.</b>
	<p><b>c. Block 8 AY20-21</b></p> <p>There were 75 students in the class of 2023, and they scored an average of 89% for the medical grade and 94% for clinical skills with 34 receiving honors and zero remediations or failures.</p>	<b>MSC to approve the AY 20-21 Block 8 report. Mark Koponen /</b>

	<p>Pharmacology was lower than last year but we did improve with clinical skills and immunology. The CAS pathology exam this year students scored a couple points higher than in 2019. We did not give the same in 2020 due to COVID and the transition to remote learning.</p> <p>The CBSE (gateway) exam was had 58 students score about the 194 to advance and be able to take STEP 1. Students asked the passing score to advance to phase 2 be lowered and UMEC did agree to lower it to 184 but they would still need to re-take the CBSE and score 194 before they can take the STEP 1 exam. This left three students who needed to remediate before they can start phase 2.</p> <p>This block is the first time we had mid and end of block exams and we think it has helped with student success in block. Curriculum revisions occurred and even with the increase in assessments to help students feel over extended with maintaining 8-weeks of content students still felt over extended. Dr. Jane Dunlevy has worked very closely with students and now we have a 20-month curriculum to help provide more breaks.</p> <p>Goals from 2020 was to transition to curriculum 1.5 and all the content was delivered via zoom. They also ended 5-weeks early, but also started phase 2 early as well. We had boot camp in person whenever possible. For the coming year we will continue to work on adding anesthesia content to the curriculum, not just in block 8 but anywhere we can and to reduce any redundancies and efficiencies in the curriculum. In addition, to work on content timing and account for holiday has and vacations.</p>	<p><b>Xuesong Chen // carried.</b></p>
	<p><b>d. GQ #8, 9, 20, 21</b></p>	<p>Tabled</p>
<p><b>6. Other Business</b></p>		
	<p>Meeting adjourned the meeting at 3:29 p.m.</p>	<p>Next meeting August 31, 2021.</p>