

Phase 1 Committee Meeting Minutes
SMHS
Tuesday, May 16, 2023 – 2pm via Zoom

In attendance: Kurt Borg, Xuesong Chen, Jane Dunlevy, Mikhail Golovko, Minnie Faith, Gunjan Manocha, George Beddow, Pat Carr, Ken Ruit, Rick Van Eck, Michelle Montgomery, Andrea Guthridge.

Members not in attendance: Jon Allen, Kara Eickman, Amanda Haage, Lauren Huddle, Dev Pant, Susan Zelewski, Marc Basson, Megan Denis, Jim Porter, Erika Johnson, Gretchen Schreiner.

Minutes Submitted by: Dawne Barwin

Minutes Reviewed by: Jane Dunlevy

MSC = motion made, seconded, carried

Minutes Approved by: Gunjan Manocha and Michelle Montgomery

AGENDA ITEM	SUMMARY	ACTION/FOLLOW-UP
1. Welcome/call to order	Dr. Jane Dunlevy, co-chair called the meeting to order at 2:02 pm via Zoom with a proposed adjournment time of 3:30pm.	Informational
Approval of Minutes: 4.18.23	Jane Dunlevy moves to approve.	MSC to approve the 4.18.23 minutes. Michelle Montgomery / Andrea Guthridge // carried.
3. Business	<p>a. Student Representative Report (George Beddow)</p> <p>Question 1: Has the request for supplementation of Board Review relevant materials been passed along to the faculty members? This request was from a couple of meetings ago, not to replace any current processes but to enhance or in addition to cover the entirety of all subjects for the Boards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jane Dunlevy stated that she has not had a chance to implement this but will for Unit 1. • Kurt Borg asked if the students have found that the lecturers have not been providing the Clinical Capsules after the lectures? • George Beddow answered that they get the Capsules here and there. The students are asking for something that is more of the entire subject matter, having more of those bridging materials between what is presented at the lectures and what is on the Boards. • Jane Dunlevy stated that this is something she will put in as a request to lecturers. But also, we may be asking a bit too much of lecturers, they are already providing clinical capsules and then asking them to tell students when information is in the Board Review books or how it is relevant. This may take time away from the lecture minutes that are precious. • Rick Van Eck said in regards to our guest lecturers we don't have the ability to require that of them. Also, Janelle Studney was monitoring the clinical capsules for the units in real time. As 	Information

	<p>the Power Points and lectures were created, she was monitoring the clinical capsules in close to real time. She may be the best person to ask this question of.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jane Dunlevy thanked George Beddow for checking back with the committee about this matter. It is in the minutes and serves as a reminder that we can work towards fulfilling that student request. 	
	<p>b. AY 2021-22 Unit 5 Director Report</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jane Dunlevy gave an overview of the Unit 5 Report. All the outcomes were all very solid. Curriculum 1.0 and 1.5 that content has zero overlap to this year’s Unit 5. Medical science average was 84% and clinical science was 97% and a 100% pass on group learning and PCL. There were 16 students out of 68 that got honors, there were no re-exams and there were 4 block failures. • Pat Carr: Unit 5 Report: Pat explained the results. There is no straight translation between this Unit 5 and the previous Block 5. The Neuro block has been very difficult for the students. Unit 5 outcomes; when comparing Unit 5 to all the other units, there might be more difficulties in it, because we are so early in Curriculum 2.0, we don’t have a lot of historical data to go by. This year 4 students failed Unit 5 exams; three of those students had previous difficulties. Of the 4 students that remediated 1 of them did not pass and will restart with the class of 2027. That person has been working closely with faculty and they are now a gold standard to restart medical school and really developed their skills and when they come back will do really well. The time and workload Jane Dunlevy calculated and I look at the vacations days before during and after the unit. There were a number of days of time off. I have heard that students think there is a lot of really dense lectures in Unit 5 and the work load is a lot. Some students are calculating the number of minutes of lecture time. Maybe there is more lecture minutes. • George Beddow spoke of how Unit 5 is intense and difficult. He has also heard of the number being more hours but the increase of lectures is more overlap also. More background to build foundation is good. • Pat Carr said that in regards of the labs, you must be there for all of them and if not, the student will be left behind. People that were 100% engaged before in everything will not see the difference. People that were not engaged before are now seeing they really must be completely involved and at each lab. • Jane Dunlevy explained that if the student participates in the lectures and labs, it all overlaps and weaves together to give a complete learning experience and will move them forward as they should be. • Pat Carr discussed student feedback in that depending on the year of the class students like different things and sometimes we can adjust from year to year. The likes were SPETA’s and disliked teaching information that students do not feel they should be learning. They liked in-person PCL’s. The students liked the IEP’s and the Simulations. In Unit 5 we include a lot of 	

direct clinical relationships to almost all the information that we teach and students really liked it.

- Goals for next year, 2023. Keep integrating content more in-person. Continue with independent learning (IL) sessions, and place emphasis on standardized exam questions. Work with Drs. Eickman and McLean to ensure the coverage of all topics of highest priority are covered on appropriate detail.

Jane Dunlevy asked the committee if there is a move to approve.

c. AY 2022 Unit 6 Director Report

- Pat Carr discussed that this is the 2025 class. We had 6 Unit failures which is much higher than usual, where 2 of them were medical science and 4 were clinical science. When there is a large number, it is usually hand washing or it may have been a failure to download a particular exam. The mean averages for the assessments were very similar to previous units. Eight students earned honors which is below average. Everyone passed their PCL's. Of the 2 students that were unsuccessful, one took a leave of absence and there is consideration for a re-start. The other student remediated and passed. The other 4 clinical science students that failed have now successfully remediated. Looked at the number of vacation days during, before and after the units. They were all acceptable. Unit 6 is basically cardiovascular and respiratory.
- Feedback of class of 2025, students liked the order and the practice questions. Students do not like when lecturers go over class time because the lecture recording stops at a certain time so students that are not at the lecture do not get the full recording. This class had very low attendance to lectures and anatomy labs. Students love case wrap up. Students liked the SIMS and want more. Students liked the Simple Achieve sessions. Diversity being placed in the cases is appreciated by students. As a general trend the last 2 weeks of the unit are felt as heavy. Students want a lot of space before exams but that is not available in our curriculum.
- Goals for next year are to optimize content and integrate more in person activities. Continue independent learning (IL) sessions, and place emphasis on standardized exam questions. Work with Drs. Roe, Huddle, Clarens and Nilles to reduce redundancies and assure that coverage of all topics of highest priority are covered in appropriate detail.

Jane Dunlevy asked the committee if there is a move to approve.

d. AY 2022 Unit 8 Director Report

- Pat Carr Unit 8, curriculum 1.5 existed on its own, it does not continue and this is a standalone report. The student feedback is important and can be used in looking at any other part of the curriculum. The outcomes compared to previous years were on average very similar. Medical

MSC to approve the AY 2021-22 Unit 5 Director Report. Kurt Borg / Michelle Montgomery // carried.

MSC to approve the AY 2022 Unit 6 Director Report. Michelle Montgomery / Kurt Borg // carried.

	<p>and clinical sciences were average. Honors were 25 out of 28. There were no block failures. Ten students did not pass CBSE on 4th attempt. Very much the average. No students failed the Unified session. PCL's were 2 days a week. Student days off and vacation days during, before and after the unit were calculated and appropriate to other units.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback. Eight sections had a Likert scale of below 4.0. Feedback regarding professionalism was they don't like that it is reported anonymously. Narrative feedback; students liked Boot Camp, the Ultrasound training, SIM, PCL's and patient panels. Students want more instruction on how to be successful on Step Exams. • Goals to look at for future units. Development and implementation of Unit 8 in curriculum 2.0. Provide more independent learning (IL) session to budget more unscheduled time for students so they have adequate time to learn in less dense schedule of content delivery. <p>Jane Dunlevy asked the committee if there is a move to approve.</p>	<p>MSC to approve the AY 2022 Unit 8 Director Report. Kurt Borg / Xuesong Chen // carried.</p>
<p>4. Future Agenda Items</p>	<p>Future Agenda Item:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AY 21-22 Phase 1 Report • AY 22-23 Units 1, 3, 7 Reports • Medical Students as TA's (Haage) <p><u>Tabled</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Medical Student Lecture Attendance for Active/Interactive Learning (<i>continued</i>) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Amount to outside lecture payment for learning modality 	
	<p>Meeting adjourned the meeting at 3:28 pm.</p>	<p>Next meeting June 6, 2023 at 2:00pm.</p>