

**UND SMHS Educational Accreditation Standards Review Committee (EASRC) Meeting
Wednesday May 17, 2023 4:30 – 6:00 pm via Zoom**

Attending: Ken Ruit, Sheila Bosh, Pat Carr, Rick Van Eck, Kurt Borg, Erika Johnson

Absent: Bryan Delage, Susan Zelewski, Jim Porter, Bryon Grove

AGENDA ITEM	SUMMARY	ACTION/FOLLOW-UP
Call meeting to order	Meeting was called to order by Dr. Ken Ruit, Committee Chair.	
Review of Minutes	April 19, 2023 minutes were reviewed. Minutes posted to Blackboard.	Minutes approved.
Element Review	<p>Element 9.7 Formative Assessment and Feedback Reviewed by Dr. Borg, Unsatisfactory Finding. Table 9.7-1 has been removed from the new DCI. Subsequently all tables have been renumbered. Noted that there has been significant improvement noted on the mid-year survey with less than 10% dissatisfaction for M1-M4. This year we streamlined questions in a modified form of i-spiral and required students to sign the feedback document.</p> <p>Element 9.8 Fair and Timely Summative Assessment Reviewed by Dr. Ruit, and previously reviewed by Dr. Zelewski at DQIP. This was not a cited element. Noted that clerkship and acting internship grade reporting by 6 weeks has been 100% continuously since January 2021. There is a question on the mid-year survey regarding the fairness of the summative assessments in the pre-clerkship phase. Dissatisfaction was below 4%.</p> <p>Element 9.9 Student Advancement and Appeal Process Reviewed by Dr. Ruit and previously reviewed by Dr. Shabb at DQIP. Unsatisfactory Finding. The 2023 version for 9.9.c includes the phrase “the initial decision-making process,” expanding the description of the process for appeal. The 2023 version for 9.9.d expands to recusal policy to include “committee members” where before it was limited to “directors.” Course/clerkship directors are now used as an example. Another change is the addition of “clinical faculty who have provided health care to a student. 9.9.a does not explicitly address how standards are implemented across campuses. Dr. Ruit revised the wording to include that we follow the same policies and same learning objectives on all campuses in narrative a. response. 9.9.b. states “In cases in which more than four years may be</p>	

	<p>required for a student to satisfactorily meet all core standards, the student is required to successfully complete Phase 1 in no more than 2 1/2 academic years.” Phase 1 is 20 months. A restart of Phase 1 will take 2 years and 8 months to complete. Policy 4.20 may need to be revised to reflect this. Dr. Carr commented that we adjusted the time period twice in the past five years to take into account if someone would restart completely. Note that the LCME expects that we will provide the policy and/or formal process document that codifies the structure and function of the Medical Student Academic Performance Committee and indicate how this document/these documents differ from that/those in place at the time of the last full survey visit in April 2022.</p>	
<p>Next Meeting</p>	<p>June 21,2023</p>	

Submitted by Sheila Bosh, Accreditation Manager

Approved by Dr. Ken Ruit, EASRC Chair