

UND SMHS Educational Accreditation Standards Review Committee (EASRC) Meeting
Wednesday April 19, 2023 4:30 – 6:00 pm via Zoom

Attending: Ken Ruit, Sheila Bosh, Pat Carr, Susan Zelewski, Rick Van Eck, Kurt Borg, Bryon Grove, Jim Porter, Erika Johnson

Absent: Bryan Delage

AGENDA ITEM	SUMMARY	ACTION/FOLLOW-UP
Call meeting to order	Meeting was called to order by Dr. Ken Ruit, Committee Chair.	
Review of Minutes	March 15, 2023 minutes were reviewed. Minutes posted to Blackboard.	Minutes approved.
Element Review	<p>Noted that none of the elements reviewed today were cited elements.</p> <p>Element 7.3 Scientific Method/Clinical/Translational Research, reviewed by Dr. Grove. There are no narratives for this element. Instruction in Scientific Method and Clinical Translational Research Assessment in Phase 1 is unresolved and needs further work. We need to show that our objectives address the scientific method. This went to CEMC, not sure if UMEC approved. Dr. Carr will follow up on this. Subject matter expert eyes needed to view this to ensure that we identify in which events topics are taught and the associated session objectives. Dr. Van Eck commented that events and courses are mapped by content area (key words). Dr. Ruit concluded that we may be reading more into this table than needed. He recommended that we find a way to describe CEDAR in a way that could be included in the table.</p> <p>Element 9.3 Clinical Supervision of Medical Students, reviewed by Dr. Porter. Clinical Supervision policy is still accurate. Slight changes in Table 9.3-1; the old table asked about availability and the new table asks about adequacy. There is more focus now on the student responsibility and how it is assessed. Dr. Porter has updated the DCI responses. Noted 1.6% dissatisfaction on adequacy of supervision in clinical settings on mid-year survey. No concerns for DQIP to address.</p> <p>9.4 Assessment System, reviewed by Dr. Borg. Narrative questions are reworded somewhat, however, our previous responses encompassed that. Narrative c. has been omitted from the 2023</p>	Dr. Carr to follow up and ensure that UMEC has approved.

	<p>DCI. Table 9.4-1 and 9.4-2 need to be updated with data from 2021, 2022 and 2023 GQ. Tables 9.4-3 and 9.4-4 are added to the 2023 DCI and presumably replace narrative response ‘c.’ from the 2022 DCI regarding student satisfaction with clinical skills instruction in the Pre-clerkship (Table 9.4-3) and Clerkship phase (Table 9.4-4) of the curriculum. Also, tables in the Appendix (9-04 Observed H & P) need updates with current data. No concerns for DQIP to address. Both of these are ISA questions and therefore included on the ISA. Responses to both questions show less than 20% dissatisfaction on the 2023 midyear survey.</p> <p>Element 9.5 Narrative Assessment, reviewed by Dr. Van Eck. This is on Table F. though not cited for the past two LCME full surveys. Dr. Zelewski commented that placement on the table was due to the fact that we had a lot of work to do for Phase 1 which has since been completed. There are no tables in the element. Current narrative responses are sufficient. No concerns for DQIP to address.</p> <p>Element 9.6 Setting Standards of Achievement, reviewed by Dr. Ruit. The new DCI question is not, in substance, different from the old DCI. There are no tables in this element. The question the survey team needs to address is identical to the DCI question. No concerns for DQIP to address.</p>	
<p>Next Meeting</p>	<p>May 17, 2023 Elements for Review 9.7 KB, 9.8 SZ, 9.9 BG</p> <p>Noted that Dr. Zelewski and Dr. Grove will not attend the May meeting. Dr. Ruit will present their elements in their absence. Noted that 9.8 was reviewed at DQIP in January by Dr. Zelewski and 9.9 was reviewed October 2022 by Dr. Shabb.</p>	

Submitted by Sheila Bosh, Accreditation Manager

Approved by Dr. Ken Ruit, EASRC Chair