

**UND SMHS Educational Accreditation Standards Review Committee (EASRC) Meeting  
Wednesday January 19, 2022, 4:30 – 6:00 pm via Zoom**

**Attending:** Steve Tinguely, Sheila Bosh, Pat Carr, Mark Koponen, Ken Ruit, Rick Van Eck, Susan Zelewski, Erika Johnson, Bryon Grove, Jim Porter

**Absent:** Dinesh Bande, Bryan Delage

AGENDA ITEM	SUMMARY	ACTION/FOLLOW-UP
<b>Call meeting to order</b>	Meeting was called to order by Dr. Stephen Tinguely, Committee Chair.	
<b>Review of Minutes</b>	November 17, 2021, minutes were distributed electronically to EASRC members prior to the meeting and are also available on Blackboard. It is noted that EASRC did not meet in December due to scheduling conflicts.	Minutes approved.
<b>Curriculum Retreat, Phase Reports and Curriculum as a Whole Update</b>	Dr. Van Eck reported that they have been working hard to operationalize what they said they were doing, and they have a timeline to receive feedback. They will use data based off due dates and the part of the curriculum that is involved. Progress being made.	Add to February agenda
<b>Curriculum System White Paper Tabletop Exercise Planning Update</b>	Dr. Van Eck provided an outline on what would need to be included in this exercise. He commented that he will need input and feedback. He suggested we start with UMEC. He recommends that this be a separate event; not done during a meeting. The time should be limited to an hour. He would like to operationalize it by committees and content and bring to CEMC. Noted again that the White Paper is the thing everyone will need to know. He expects this training will happen before the Site Visit but won't occur before Mock Visit. Dr. Ruit suggests that the audience is carefully determined and focused on what systems are involved. Discussed whether those listed on DCI Table 5.2 are the people this training should be directed to. Dr. Tinguely shared that Veronica Cantonese from the LCME informed us (in response to an email from the Dean), that Table 2.4 should include the dean and higher administration. Table 5.2 should include directors and those at that level. If someone has a dual role they are signified with an asterisk. It was concluded that Dr. Van Eck will create a matrix for people to provide feedback on.	Add to February agenda.
<b>Bloodborne Pathogen Follow Up</b>	Dr. Zelewski reported that our large health centers have verbally agreed. Altru verbally said yes and then signed an affiliation agreement that said the opposite. This will need to be worked	Add to February agenda.

	through. Additionally, we still need written agreement from Minot. It was asked what we are actually monitoring in this element. Dr. Tinguely commented that we are monitoring student satisfaction. Students are largely dissatisfied about the cost. It's noted that we're making progress towards decreasing the student's out of pocket expense.	
<b>Mock Visit Questions</b>	<p>Reviewed the possible mock visit questions. Committee felt comfortable with the questions and their ability to respond for the most part. Dr. Ruit suggested trying to stay focused on how the curriculum modification has set us on the path we want to be going forward; not discussing the past.</p> <p>Discussed a few specific questions italicized below:</p> <p><i>Do you have sufficient resources and dedicated time to carry out the curriculum?</i> Dr. Ruit commented that this may be asked individually or as a group. Dr. Carr commented that this depends on how this intersects with peoples' evaluations.</p> <p><i>What happens if an adverse decision is made?</i> Dr. Carr commented that there are multiple people upset that they did not get honors. This is related to students not being able to challenge a question unless they are in academic jeopardy. Dr. Ruit commented that an adverse decision is something that affects a students' status.</p> <p>Dr. Ruit suggests if people don't know the answer or aren't comfortable in their ability to answer it's fine to say that "I would contact the person I report to or the person whose direct responsibility it is."</p> <p>Comment made that it may be beneficial to send this list of questions to the Department chairs</p>	
<b>Next Meeting</b>	February 16, 2022	

Submitted by Sheila Bosh, Accreditation Manager  
Approved by Dr. Stephen Tinguely, EASRC Chair